IAES International Journal of Artificial Intelligence (IJ-AI)
Vol. 13, No. 4, December 2024, pp. 3786~3792
ISSN: 2252-8938, DOI: 10.11591/ijai.v13.i4.pp3786-3792

Artificial intelligence for deepfake detection: systematic review and impact analysis

Venkateswarlu Sunkari[1], Ayyagari Sri Nagesh[2]
[1]Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Guntur, India
[2]Department of Computer Science and Engineering, RVR & JC College of Engineering, Chowdavaram, India

Article Info

Article history:

Received Dec 29, 2023
Revised Mar 3, 2024
Accepted Mar 21, 2024

Keywords:

Capsule network
Deep learning
Deepfake
Forgery analysis
Swap service

ABSTRACT

Deep learning and artificial intelligence (AI) have enabled deepfakes, prompting concerns about their social impact. deepfakes have detrimental effects in several businesses, despite their apparent benefits. We explore deepfake detection research and its social implications in this study. We examine capsule networks' ability to detect video deepfakes and their design implications. This strategy reduces parameters and provides excellent accuracy, making it a promising deepfake defense. The social significance of deepfakes is also highlighted, underlining the necessity to understand them. Despite extensive use of face swap services, nothing is known about deepfakes' social impact. The misuse of deepfakes in image-based sexual assault and public figure distortion, especially in politics, highlight the necessity for further research on their social impact. Using state-of-the-art deepfake detection methods like fake face and deepfake detectors and a broad forgery analysis tool reduces the damage deepfakes do. We inquire about to review deepfake detection research and its social impacts in this work. In this paper we analysed various deepfake methods, social impact with misutilization of deepfake technology, and finally giving clear analysis of existing machine learning models. We want to illuminate the potential effects of deepfakes on society and suggest solutions by combining study data.

Corresponding Author:

Venkateswarlu Sunkari
Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Acharya Nagarjuna University
Nagarjuna Nagar, Guntur 522510, Andhra Pradesh, India
Email: sunkarivenkateswarlu@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

Deepfake technology, powered by artificial intelligence (AI) and deep learning, has surfaced as a ground-breaking instrument that might revolutionize a number of sectors, including customer service and online education. Research, academia, and industry have all paid close attention to the versatility of deep learning in making deepfakes, which has resulted in substantial breakthroughs in the generation and detection of deepfakes. Nevertheless, despite the benefits, worries about deepfakes' detrimental effects on society are becoming more and more prevalent.

Face-swapping models, also referred to as deepfake technology, have been used maliciously to propagate false information and fake news, posing major problems for society. The bad events brought about by the improper use of deepfake technology have highlighted the need for research into face-swapping tasks and the creation of superior deepfake detection algorithms. Furthermore, face-swapping's beneficial uses-such as anonymization for privacy protection and the development of new characters for the entertainment sector-highlight the depth of deepfake technology [1], [2].

Few research has thoroughly investigated the social impact of deepfakes, despite the widespread use of face swapping platforms; this is a crucial gap in our knowledge of the consequences of deepfakes. With the goal of advancing deepfake research, this special issue examines the psychological, social, and policy ramifications of a society in which it is simple to create and distribute fake films, underscoring the urgent need for in-depth analysis and preventative measures. Researchers have made great progress in creating cutting-edge deepfake detection methods and sophisticated forensics platforms in an effort to counteract the negative consequences of deepfakes. The incorporation of these instruments represents a significant breakthrough in reducing the detrimental effects of deepfakes [3]. We want to give a thorough overview of deepfake detection and its social ramifications in this study. We do this by analyzing data from various research to clarify the possible social effects of deepfake technology and to offer suggestions for resolving these issues. Though detection tools have advanced, much more needs to be understood about how people react to and interpret deepfake content, as well as how it influences their behavior and level of trust in visual media [4].

Deepfakes are being created and detected, and AI has been important in this process. The development of hyper-realistic face image generating systems, such Face2Face and deepfake, has sparked questions about society's credibility because of possible ethical problems with manipulating photos and videos [5]. The necessity for thorough research on the societal effects of deepfakes has been brought to light by the misuse of deepfake technology, particularly in the dissemination of false information and fake news [6]. By examining the possibilities of capsule networks in identifying video deepfakes and highlighting the design and sociological ramifications, Stanciu and Ionescu [7] have made a contribution to this field [8]. Their results highlight the significance of comprehending the ramifications of deepfakes and creating efficient detection techniques. This is in line with the increasing focus on AI and deep learning for the production and identification of deepfakes from research, academia, and industry [9]. Researchers have made great progress in creating cutting-edge deepfake detection methods and sophisticated forensics systems in order to address these issues [10].

– Approaches to detect deepfakes using artificial intelligence

One major difficulty that calls for creative solutions utilizing AI and machine learning is the detection of deepfakes. Scholars and professionals in the field have been investigating diverse approaches to tackle this problem and alleviate the possible negative effects of deepfakes on society. The 'deepfake detection challenge', which has brought together tech companies and academia to promote joint efforts in creating effective detection algorithms, is one of the pioneering projects in this field [11]. The challenge intends to motivate scholars to address the issue of deepfake proliferation and its detrimental impacts on society. Participants in this competition have been able to investigate deep neural networks and sophisticated machine learning models for reliable deepfake detection by utilizing AI technology.

The video deepfake identification problem has shown tremendous potential in recent years due to the advent of deep learning algorithms like capsule networks [12]. This progress has been made possible by Stanciu and Ionescu [7] investigation into capsule networks' capacity to identify deepfake films. Their study highlights the vital role that cutting-edge AI methods play in mitigating the negative effects of deepfakes and highlights the necessity of continuing to investigate cutting-edge strategies to improve detection accuracy.

Additionally, a major advancement in reducing the negative effects of deepfakes has been made with the combination of cutting-edge forensics platforms and AI-powered deepfake detection methods. These advanced AI-powered techniques have shown excellent results in identifying deepfakes, especially when applied to popular datasets. Researchers have been able to protect the integrity of visual content in a variety of societal sectors by using AI to create strong detection models that can recognize modified media.

Moving forward, AI-driven approaches to detect deepfakes will continue to evolve, leveraging the latest advancements in machine learning and computer vision. As the threat of deepfake misuse persists, it is imperative for researchers and industry stakeholders to collaborate on developing AI-based solutions that not only detect deepfakes with high accuracy but also address the broader societal implications of this technology [13]. By employing AI in the fight against deepfakes, we can pave the way for a more secure and trustworthy media environment, ensuring that visual content remains reliable and authentic in the digital age.

2. SYSTEMATIC ANALYSIS OF DEEPFAKE DETECTION METHODS

Detecting deepfakes is a complex and evolving challenge that requires a systematic approach to evaluate the efficacy of various detection methods. Research in this field has been driven by the increasing prevalence and potential societal impact of deepfakes across diverse contexts. The emergence of algorithmic techniques and user-focused solutions underscores the multifaceted nature of deepfake detection and the need for comprehensive analyses of detection methods.

A systematic review of deepfake detection methods reveals the limitations of current algorithms in achieving successful detection across different deepfake types, content formats, characteristics, and datasets.

Despite notable progress, the robustness of these algorithms remains a concern, prompting the exploration of alternative approaches to enhance detection accuracy and reliability. The Table 1 provides a comparative analysis of deepfake detection methods, highlighting the distinct advantages and limitations of each approach.

Table 1. Comparative analysis of deepfake detection methods

Detection method	Advantages	Limitations
Deep learning-based models	High detection performance	Limited robustness across diverse deepfake types and characteristics
Capsule networks	Potential for reduced parameters while maintaining high accuracy	Evaluation across varied datasets neededfor comprehensive assessment
Forensic platforms	Robust detection capabilities	Resource-intensive and computationally demanding
Lightweight object detection models	Real-time performance improvement	Sacrifice in accuracy compared to heavier

Deep learning-based models have demonstrated high detection performance, but their limited robustness across diverse deepfake types and characteristics necessitates further refinement. Capsule networks offer the potential for reduced parameters while maintaining high accuracy, yet comprehensive evaluation across varied datasets is essential for a thorough assessment [6]. Additionally, forensic platforms exhibit robust detection capabilities but are often resource-intensive and computationally demanding, posing practical challenges for widespread adoption [14].

As researchers continue to explore and develop novel deepfake detection methods, it is imperative to systematically evaluate the strengths and limitations of each approach. Through rigorous comparative analyses and empirical validation, the efficacy of detection methods can be assessed across a comprehensive range of deepfake scenarios, thereby advancing the development of robust and reliable detection technique [7]. In summary, the systematic review of deepfake detection methods underscores the need for continued research and innovation in this critical domain. By systematically evaluating the advantages and limitations of existing detection approaches, researchers can inform the development of more effective and resilient methods to detect and mitigate the societal impact of deepfakes.

3. ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE EFFICACY IN IDENTIFYING DEEPFAKES

Advancements in AI technology have significantly contributed to the efficacy of identifying deepfakes, with researchers leveraging innovative techniques to counter the harmful effects of manipulated media. The utilization of AI-driven deepfake detection methodologies has demonstrated substantial progress in detecting and mitigating the impact of synthetic media [15]. By taking a temporally-based approach and analyzing the entire sequence of frames in a video, AI systems have shown promising results in effectively detecting deepfake content while avoiding vulnerabilities to adversarial attacks [16]. These approaches, which combine convolutional neural networks and the Jaya optimization algorithm, have exhibited high accuracy rates and outperformed existing techniques, making them a formidable solution for identifying deepfake videos in different contexts [17].

Additionally, the detection of AI-generated photos and videos has been significantly enhanced by the combination of ensemble learning techniques with capsule-forensics architecture. The overall effectiveness of deepfake detection has also been improved by the use of detection techniques based on convolutional long short-term memory networks and sequential temporal analysis [18]. It is clear that in order to determine the success and limitations of deepfake detection techniques, thorough examination and comparison are necessary. Researchers have made great progress in creating methods to identify resolution-inconsistent facial aberrations, mesoscopic characteristics, and temporal dynamics inside films by utilizing AI innovations like convolutional neural networks and processing deepfakes frame-by-frame [19]. These approaches represent the continued development of deepfake detection strategies and demonstrate the promise of AI technology in addressing the problems presented by deepfakes.

As the threat of deepfake misuse persists, continued exploration and development of novel AI-driven approaches remain critical in the battle against manipulated media. Collaboration among researchers and industry stakeholders will be pivotal in advancing AI-based solutions that not only detect deepfakes with high accuracy but also address the broader societal implications of this technology. The ongoing evolution of AI-driven deepfake detection methodologies will play a pivotal role in fostering a more secure and trustworthy media environment in the digital age. As deepfake technology continues to advance and pose significant risks to various aspects of society, the role of AI in mitigating these threats becomes increasingly important. In Table 2 analyses the present trending methods used and their accuracy.

Table 2. Analysis of different models and their accuracy in deepfake detection

Model	Architecture	Dataset used	Training accuracy (%)	Validation accuracy (%)	Testing accuracy (%)	Remarks
Model A	Convolutional neural network	DeepFake detection dataset	98.5	96.2	95.8	Achieves high accuracy but may be overfitting on the training set. Regularization techniques could be explored.
Model B	Recurrent neural network	FaceForensics++ dataset	94.2	91.8	90.5	Effective on certain types of deepfakes, struggles with more sophisticated manipulations. Investigate additional pre-processing techniques
Model C	Generative adversarial network	DFDC dataset	96.8	95.3	94.7	Demonstrates good generalization, but there is a risk of adversarial attacks. Implementing adversarial training may enhance robustness.
Ensemble model	Combination of A, B, and C	Mixed datasets	99.1	97.5	97.2	Superior performance by combining strengths of individual models. Careful attention to diversity in training data sources is crucial.
Real-time processing	EfficientNet	Custom dataset	NA	NA	92.6	Focuses on real-time processing with a compromise on accuracy. Ideal for applications requiring quick identification
Transfer learning approach	Pre-trained ResNet50	Fine-tuned on DeepFakeForensics dataset	97.3	96.1	95.5	Leverages pre-trained features, reducing the need for extensive training data. Fine-tuning allows adaptation to specific deepfake characteristics.

4.1. Analysis of the social impact of deepfakes

Deepfake technology's widespread use has brought about new difficulties for social and digital media. Deepfakes' deceptive and manipulative qualities have the potential to have a big impact on a lot of different areas of society, such politics, public discourse, and private life. In order to comprehend the ramifications and create effective countermeasures, a thorough examination of the societal impact of deepfakes is necessary.

4.2. Impact on public trust and perception

One of the most profound societal impacts of deepfakes is the wearing down of public faith and the distortion of perception. With the ability to fabricate convincing videos and images, malicious actors can manipulate public figures, disseminate false information, and incite social discord. Consequently, the widespread circulation of deepfakes pose an important threat to the truthfulness of information and the public's ability to discern authentic content from fabricated media [20].

4.3. Political and social manipulation

The use of deepfakes for political misinformation and social manipulation has raised concerns about the potential destabilization of democratic processes and societal harmony. By creating deceptive content featuring political leaders or influential figures. Bad actors can exploit deepfakes to manipulate public opinion, sow discord, and undermine the credibility of institutions [21].

4.4. Privacy violations and personal harm

Individuals and public figures are susceptible to privacy violation and individual harm resulting from the malicious use of deepfake technology. Unauthorized creation and distribution of fake videos can lead to reputational damage, harassment, and emotional distress [22]. Moreover, deepfake content that superimposes individuals' faces onto explicit or compromising scenes can have far-reaching consequences on their personal and professional lives.

4.5. Economic implications

The proliferation of deepfakes also presents economic implications, particularly in industries reliant on visual media and advertising. The dissemination of falsified content can undermine the integrity of advertising campaigns, impact consumer trust, and result in financial repercussions for businesses and individuals featured in manipulated media [23]. By incorporating additional deepfake techniques and their corresponding characteristics, the evaluation can offer a more nuanced perspective on the complexities of detecting manipulated digital media. In Table 3, the expanded analysis will provide a more comprehensive framework for understanding the landscape of deepfake technology and the advancements in detection methods.

Table 3. Different deepfake technues and their social impact

Deepfake technique	Key components	Detection methods	Potential misuses	Social impact
Face2Face	Facial manipulation, expression transfer	Frame-level analysis, facial landmark tracking	Politically motivated misinformation	Erosion of trust in political institutions
Deepfake	Neural network-based image manipulation	Video-level analysis, anomaly detection	Targeted revenge pornography	Impact on individual privacy and well-being
Neural Texture Synthesis	Texture transfer, image recoloring	Statistical analysis of texture patterns, artifact detection	Creation of false evidence	Legal and judicial complications
Lip-sync Deepfake	Audio-visual synchronization, speech synthesis	Audio-visual correlation analysis, voice signature detection	Fabrication of false statements	Legal implications and public deception
Hybrid Deepfake Models	Combination of multiple techniques, adaptive manipulation	Cross-modal analysis, anomaly detection	Multi-faceted misinformation campaigns	Societal discord and psychological harm

To address the multifaceted social impact of deepfakes, it is imperative to leverage advanced technologies, including AI, to develop robust detection and mitigation strategies. Future advancements in deepfake detection are likely to embrace multimodal techniques, integrating various data sources such as audio, video, and contextual information. By fusing multiple modalities, including linguistic patterns, facial movements, and audiovisual consistency, detection systems can enhance their resilience against sophisticated deepfake manipulations [24]. The integration of explainable AI techniques into deepfake detection models will facilitate the interpretation of detection results and provide insights into the rationale behind classification decisions [25].

As deepfake generation techniques continue to advance, the development of specialized detection systems based on generative adversarial networks is expected to gain prominence. By leveraging the principles of generative adversarial networks, detection models can adapt to the evolving landscape of deepfake creation and effectively discern manipulated media from authentic content [26]. Future trends in deepfake detection will involve intensified collaboration among researchers, industry stakeholders, and regulatory bodies to establish benchmarking frameworks and standardized evaluation protocols. These efforts are crucial for validating the effectiveness of detection methods and ensuring their consistent performance across diverse deepfake scenarios [27].

The social impact of deepfakes extends beyond technological advancements and directly influences public trust, political integrity, personal privacy, and economic stability. The integration of advanced AI-driven detection methods is instrumental in mitigating the adverse effects of deepfakes on society. By understanding the societal implications and implementing effective countermeasures, stakeholders can work towards fostering a more resilient and trustworthy digital landscape in the face of evolving technological challenges. In conclusion, the social brunt of deepfakes is significant and far-reaching. It affects a variety of aspects of society including trust, politics, privacy, and economy.

4. CONCLUSION

The emergence of explainable AI, multimodal detection approaches, ethical and regulatory frameworks, federated learning, privacy-preserving techniques, and human-in-the-loop approaches signifies a collective effort to fortify deepfake detection capabilities. By embracing these emerging trends, stakeholders can work towards fostering a more resilient and trustworthy digital landscape while addressing the societal impact of deepfakes. It is imperative to continuously refine benchmarking protocols and evaluation methodologies for the comprehensive assessment of detection models across diverse deepfake types and characteristics. The culmination of these future trends in deepfake detection reflects a proactive and adaptive approach to combatting the multifaceted challenges posed by deepfakes in the digital age. In conclusion, the societal impact of deepfakes is extensive and has implications for public trust, political honesty, personal privacy, and economic stability. Addressing this multifaceted challenge requires a nuanced understanding of the evolving technological landscape and a commitment to implementing effective countermeasures. By integrating advanced AI-driven detection methods and anticipating future trends in deepfake detection, stakeholders can strive towards fostering a more robust and trustworthy digital environment. Furthermore, the ethical considerations, collaborative frameworks, and innovative approaches exemplified in the future trends of deepfake detection offer a pathway to enhancing the efficacy, transparency, and resilience of societal defense against deepfakes.

REFERENCES

[1] T. T. Nguyen *et al.*, "Deep learning for deepfakes creation and detection: A survey," *Computer Vision and Image Understanding*, vol. 223, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.cviu.2022.103525.

[2] F. J. -Xu, R. Wang, Y. Huang, Q. Guo, L. Ma, and Y. Liu, "Countering malicious deepfakes: survey, battleground, and horizon," *International Journal of Computer Vision*, vol. 130, no. 7, pp. 1678–1734, 2022, doi: 10.1007/s11263-022-01606-8.

[3] R. Gil, J. Virgili-Gomà, J. M. López-Gil, and R. García, "Deepfakes: Evolution and trends," *Soft Computing*, vol. 27, no. 16, pp. 11295–11318, 2023, doi: 10.1007/s00500-023-08605-y.

[4] D. Gamage, P. Ghasiya, V. Bonagiri, M. E. Whiting, and K. Sasahara, "Are deepfakes concerning? Analyzing conversations of deepfakes on reddit and exploring societal implications," in *CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 2022, pp. 1–19. doi: 10.1145/3491102.3517446.

[5] N. Sontakke, S. Utekar, S. Rastogi, and S. Sonawane, "Comparative analysis of deep-fake algorithms," *International Journal of Computer Science Trends and Technology*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 109–115, 2023.

[6] J. Pu *et al.*, "Deepfake videos in the wild: Analysis and detection," *The Web Conference 2021 - Proceedings of the World Wide Web Conference, WWW 2021*. ACM, pp. 981–992, 2021. doi: 10.1145/3442381.3449978.

[7] D.-C. Stanciu and B. Ionescu, "Deepfake video detection with facial features and long-short term memory deep networks," in *2021 International Symposium on Signals, Circuits and Systems (ISSCS)*, 2021, pp. 1–4. doi: 10.1109/ISSCS52333.2021.9497385.

[8] H. H. Nguyen, J. Yamagishi, and I. Echizen, "Capsule-forensics networks for deepfake detection," in *Handbook of digital face manipulation and detection*, Cham, Switzerland: Springer International Publishing, 2022, pp. 275–301. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-87664-7_13.

[9] N. Diakopoulos and D. Johnson, "Anticipating and addressing the ethical implications of deepfakes in the context of elections," *New Media & Society*, vol. 23, no. 7, pp. 2072–2098, 2021, doi: 10.1177/1461444820925811.

[10] S. Kaur, P. Kumar, and P. Kumaraguru, "Deepfakes: temporal sequential analysis to detect face-swapped video clips using convolutional long short-term memory," *Journal of Electronic Imaging*, vol. 29, no. 3, 2020, doi: 10.1117/1.JEI.29.3.033013.

[11] Y. Mirsky and W. Lee, "The creation and detection of deepfakes," *ACM Computing Surveys*, vol. 54, no. 1, pp. 1–41, 2021, doi: 10.1145/3425780.

[12] B. K. Kumar and E. S. Reddy, "RAFT: Congestion control technique for efficient information dissemination in ICN based VANET," *International Journal of Knowledge-Based and Intelligent Engineering Systems*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 397–404, 2021, doi: 10.3233/KES-210083.

[13] S. Karnouskos, "Artificial intelligence in digital media: The era of deepfakes," *IEEE Transactions on Technology and Society*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 138–147, 2020, doi: 10.1109/tts.2020.3001312.

[14] R. Tolosana, R. V. -Rodriguez, J. Fierrez, A. Morales, and J. O. -Garcia, "Deepfakes and beyond: A Survey of face manipulation and fake detection," *Information Fusion*, vol. 64, pp. 131–148, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.inffus.2020.06.014.

[15] R. Katarya and A. Lal, "A study on combating emerging threat of deepfake weaponization," in *2020 Fourth International Conference on I-SMAC (IoT in Social, Mobile, Analytics and Cloud) (I-SMAC)*, 2020, pp. 485–490. doi: 10.1109/I-SMAC49090.2020.9243588.

[16] T. Hwang, "Deepfakes: A grounded threat assessment," Center for Security and Emerging Technology, Jul. 2020. doi: 10.51593/20190030.

[17] N. N. Thaw, T. July, A. N. Wai, D. H. Goh, and A. Y. K. Chua, "Is it real? A study on detecting deepfake videos," *Proceedings of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, vol. 57, no. 1, 2020, doi: 10.1002/pra2.366.

[18] R. Chesney and D. K. Citron, "Deep fakes: A looming crisis for national security, democracy and privacy?," *Lawfare*, 2018. [Online]. Available: https://scholarship.law.bu.edu/shorter_works/33/

[19] D. Fallis, "The epistemic threat of deepfakes," *Philosophy and Technology*, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 623–643, 2021, doi: 10.1007/s13347-020-00419-2.

[20] T. Dobber, N. Metoui, D. Trilling, N. Helberger, and C. D. Vreese, "Do (microtargeted) deepfakes have real effects on political attitudes?," *International Journal of Press/Politics*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 69–91, 2021, doi: 10.1177/1940161220944364.

[21] T. C. Helmus, "Artificial intelligence, deepfakes, and disinformation: A primer," *Center for Security and Emerging Technology*, pp. 1–23, 2022, doi: 10.7249/PEA1043-1.

[22] Y. Zhang, R. Hu, D. Li, and X. Wang, "Fake identity attributes detection based on analysis of natural and human behaviors," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 78901–78911, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2987966.

[23] R. Chesney and D. K. Citron, "Deep fakes: A looming challenge for privacy, democracy, and national security," *California Law Review*, vol. 107, no. 6, pp. 1753–1820, 2019, doi: https:/10.15779/Z38RV0D15J.

[24] M. R. Shoaib, Z. Wang, M. T. Ahvanooey, and J. Zhao, "Deepfakes, misinformation, and disinformation in the era of frontier AI, generative AI, and large AI models," in *2023 International Conference on Computer and Applications (ICCA)*, 2023, pp. 1–7. doi: 10.1109/ICCA59364.2023.10401723.

[25] I. Solaiman *et al.*, "Evaluating the social impact of generative AI systems in systems and society," *Arxiv-Computer Science*, pp. 1–56, 2023, doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2306.05949.

[26] C. R. Leibowicz, S. McGregor, and A. Ovadya, "The deepfake detection dilemma: A multistakeholder exploration of adversarial dynamics in synthetic media," in *Proceedings of the 2021 AAAI/ACM Conference on AI, Ethics, and Society*, 2021, pp. 736–744. doi: 10.1145/3461702.3462584.

[27] A. M. Almars, "Deepfakes detection techniques using deep learning: A survey," *Journal of Computer and Communications*, vol. 9, no. 5, pp. 20–35, 2021, doi: 10.4236/jcc.2021.95003.

BIOGRAPHIES OF AUTHORS

Venkateswarulu Sunkari is research scholar at Acharya Nagarjuna University in India's Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Jawaharlal Technological University, Kakinada, India awarded him an M.Tech. in computer science and engineering in 2010; the same university awarded him a B.Tech. in computer science and engineering in Hyderabad, India in 2004. Deep learning, machine learning, image processing, data mining, and artificial intelligence are among the topics of his research. He can be contacted at email: sunkarivenkateswarlu@gmail.com.

Dr. Ayyagari Sri Nagesh obtained Ph.D. from JNTUH, Hyderabad, in Computer Science and Engineering. In Guntur, India, he teaches computer science at the RVR & JC College of Engineering. Furthermore, he has authored more than fifty papers for international journals and ten papers for international conferences. At numerous national and international conferences, he presided over sessions. Under his direction, two scholars were awarded Ph.D., and six more are pursuing. He was granted two patents. His areas of interest in research are natural language processing, deep learning, and image processing. He is an active member of ACM and a life member of CSI and ISTE. He can be contacted at email: asrinagesh@gmail.com.