Toddler monitoring system in vehicle using single shot detector-mobilenet and single shot detector-inception on Jetson Nano
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ABSTRACT

Road vehicles are today’s primary form of transportation; the safety of children passengers must take precedence. Numerous reports of toddler death in road vehicles, include heatstroke and accidents caused by negligent parents. In this research, we report a system developed to monitor and detect a toddler's presence in a vehicle and to classify the toddler's seatbelt status. The objective of the toddler monitoring system is to monitor the child’s conditions to ensure the toddler's safety. The device senses the toddler's seatbelt status and warns the driver if the child is left in the car after the vehicle is powered off. The vision-based monitoring system employs deep learning algorithms to recognize infants and seatbelts, in the interior vehicle environment. Due to its superior performance, the Nvidia Jetson Nano was selected as the computational unit. Deep learning algorithms such as faster region-based convolutional neural network (R-CNN), single shot detector (SSD)-MobileNet, and single shot detector (SSD)-Inception was utilized and compared for detection and classification. From the results, the object detection algorithms using Jetson Nano achieved 80 FPS, with up to 82.98% accuracy, making it feasible for online and real-time in-vehicle monitoring with low power requirements.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In this age, automobiles were the primary mode of human mobility. People are worried on safety aspects in automotive specs, such as the car’s construction, car seat and airbag, in this instance. However, the most crucial issue in safety is the fact that individuals or drivers tend to disregard the behaviours of other passengers. Human activity recognition (HAR) is a field of study that seeks to identify a person’s actions based on sensor or camera observation [1]–[4].

Due to the development of autonomous vehicles recently, a lot of research on the surrounding monitoring of vehicles has been done [5], [6]. However, the tracking of a passenger is still less to be concerned about. An accident is sometimes unpredictable and unpreventable, and not only because of the carelessness or drowsiness of the driver. What people must do in an accident is know how to survive in the accident. According to the child accident prevention trust organization, twelve children under ten are killed or injured as passengers in cars every day. An inside car safety monitoring system for toddlers is a system that recognizes the seatbelt
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condition of a toddler to alert parents. The focus of this research is to develop a relatively new system using artificial intelligence which can recognize the seatbelt condition of toddlers in the backseat.

2. RELATED WORK

As a means of providing a concise introduction to object detection, this section provides an overview of relevant research on the topic of seatbelt detection difficulties. Object detection [7] is widely regarded as one of the most crucial challenges facing the area of computer vision. Every day, there is a further expansion of the scope of the object detection difficulties. In order to solve these issues, the research and development groups frequently make use of cutting-edge methods such as machine learning [8], [9].

As a subfield of artificial intelligence [10], [11], computer vision [12], [13] is described as the process of teaching computers to comprehend the visual environment. It is able to identify all of the items or persons in a picture by utilizing a mix of information and can do so with a level of success that is reasonable [14]. Through the utilization of digital image capture via cameras and learning models, the computer is able to effectively detect and discriminate between items. Computer vision has been able to emulate humans in several tasks linked to recognizing and labelling things [15], thanks to advancements in deep learning [16], [17] and neural networks. This was previously impossible. Pattern recognition is the name of the game here, and this is carried out by teaching a computer how to recognize different kinds of visual input. The autonomous vehicle, often known as a self-driving automobile, is an example of one of the more well-known applications of computer vision [18]. Computer vision is sometimes referred to as "perception" in the area of autonomous cars since cameras are one of the primary instruments that a vehicle uses to perceive its environment.

The first simulation of perceptron a was carried out by Frank Rosenblatt on an IBM 704 computer. This ultimately resulted in the building of an electronic machine [19]. An area of artificial intelligence known as machine learning enables computers to learn from previous data or experiences without being explicitly programmed [20]. Developing computer systems that have access to data and can learn from the data they have acquired themselves is the primary emphasis of machine learning. Identifying a pattern in a big dataset is one of many applications for machine learning, which may be used in a variety of industries. The generation of example data is the initial stage of machine learning, which involves the collection and preparation of data. After then, the data that has been prepared will be input into the machine in order to train it. Following the completion of the training procedure, a model will be implemented. Creating additional example data could make the model better in the long run. The process of machine learning is illustrated in Figure 1.

![Flow of machine learning](image)

**Figure 1. Flow of machine learning**

Neural Network is popular for the human detection system. Yan et al. used the region based convolutional neural network (R-CNN) to recognize the driver’s behaviour based on convolutional neural network (CNN) whereas Nikouei et al. and Bao et al. using lightweight convolutional neural network [21]–[23] for realtime human detection and gender edge estimation. Murthy et al., Yan et al. and Jose et al. [24]–[26], used convolution neural network for human pose estimation, drowsiness detection system and face recognition. The hardware used are from the computer using the NVIDIA graphics processing units (GPU) Rasberry Pie and Jetson Nano for the image processing and classification. Nevertheless, most of the past research did emphasized on the detection process at the front or driver seat. There is less concern about the passenger,
especially the toddler. Furthermore, the safety of the passenger is not stated in the journals. From these gaps, a toddler monitoring system in the vehicle by using artificial intelligence will be developed.

3. METHODOLOGY

In this section, SSD-Inception and SSD-Mobilenet are used as the networks for the toddler monitoring system. Both networks are trained to detect the toddler's situation in the backseat. More specifically, the main objective is to split up three cases: (1) detect the presence of a toddler, (2) classify the safety condition by detecting the seatbelt, and (3) compare the performance of the networks. The flow for the monitoring system is shown as in Figure 2.

![Figure 2. Flowchart of the toddler monitoring system](image)

3.1. Hardware selection

Due to the rapid growth of technologies nowadays, many types of single-board computers such as Raspberry Pi, Intel and Nvidia can be found on the market. The Nvidia Jetson Nano was chosen because of the application programming interface (API) model created by Nvidia, which is compute unified device architecture (CUDA). CUDA is a parallel computing platform and API model. It enables developers to use the CUDA-enabled graphic processing unit (GPU) for general-purpose processing, allowing the term general-purpose computing on graphics processing units (GPU) to its full extent. Developers can significantly accelerate computing applications by leveraging the power of GPUs and the presence of CUDA.

3.2. Algorithm development

The flow of the design of the development for the monitoring system is shown as in Figure 3. Firstly, the image of the toddler in the backseat will be collected and the image will be preprocessed before labelling to ensure all the images are the same in type and size. After the annotation is done, the images will be fed into the system for training. The trained system will test for functionality.
3.3. Data collection and annotation

The data is collected in video form (.mp4) and all the videos are extracted into images for training purposes. The location to collect the data is set at the back of the seat. Examples of the collected images are shown as in Figure 4.

![Sample images collected](image)

Data annotation is the process of adding metadata to a dataset in preparation for training a machine learning model. This process is to generate an annotation file that contains the information about the box location of the region and the name of the annotation for all the images. The function of the annotation file is to help machines learn certain patterns and correlate the results. LabelImg is used as a graphical image annotation tool as shown as in Figure 5. It can output an annotation file in a Pascal VOC XML file. The annotation makes two classes called "toddler" and "seatbelt" that can find out if a "toddler" or "seatbelt" is present.

![Labeling annotation process](image)

3.4. System training

The network was trained on Google Colab. The provided GPU was used to train the model. The model is initialized with the original SSD-Mobilenet and SSD-Inception. Only the output layers were pre-trained. The sample image of the output from the trained network is shown in Table 1. The situation is classified by checking the number of bounding boxes from different classes on the image as shown in Table 2.
Table 1. Sample of image result from trained network

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SSD-Mobilenet</th>
<th>SSD-Inception</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1.jpg" alt="SSD-Mobilenet Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image2.jpg" alt="SSD-Inception Image" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Sample of image result for classified images

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Backseat condition/output of system</th>
<th>Image Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Toddler is absent.</td>
<td><img src="image3.jpg" alt="Toddler is absent Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One or more than one toddler is not using seatbelt. (Speaker starts to beep to alert driver)</td>
<td><img src="image4.jpg" alt="One or more toddlers not using seatbelt Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All the detected toddlers are using the seatbelts.</td>
<td><img src="image5.jpg" alt="All toddlers using seatbelts Image" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. RESULT

The results are compared by using the confusion matrix method. Table 3 shows the confusion matrix table for performance comparison. The parameters such as the Accuracy, Precision and Recall are calculated based on the (1)-(3). Tables 3(a) and 3(b) are the result of the performance that is calculated by using the data from the confusion matrix table and the summary in graph format as shown as in Figure 6.

\[
\text{Accuracy} = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FP + FN} \quad (1)
\]

\[
\text{Precision} = \frac{TP}{TP + FP} \quad (2)
\]

\[
\text{Recall} = \frac{TP}{TP + FN} \quad (3)
\]

Table 3. Confusion Matrix of (a) SSD-Mobilenet and (b) SSD-Inception

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TODDLER</th>
<th></th>
<th>SEATBELT</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PREDICTED</td>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>PREDICTED</td>
<td>TOTAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTUAL</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>ACTUAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORRECTLY DETECTED</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>CORRECTLY DETECTED</td>
<td>56.38%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TODDLER</th>
<th></th>
<th>SEATBELT</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PREDICTED</td>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>PREDICTED</td>
<td>TOTAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTUAL</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORRECTLY DETECTED</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>CORRECTLY DETECTED</td>
<td>54.26%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b)

From Table 4, it was found that SSD-Inception gives better performance with 77.70% of accuracy, 97.92% of precision and 77.47% of recall when detecting the toddler class, while SSD-Mobilenet performs better in the class of seatbelt with 82.98% of accuracy, 99.07% of precision and 77.37% of recall. Though there is a performance difference between both neural networks, it is just a slight difference. SSD-Mobilenet has a higher frame per second (FPS) which is 8.5 FPS, than SSD-Inception, which has 5.7 FPS. It means that SSD-Mobilenet can respond faster than SSD-Inception as the performance of both neural networks is only slightly different in accuracy. The performance comparison between the networks is shown in Table 5 and later by Figure 6 (a) & (b). The tensorboard function is used to get the mean average precision (mAP) with 0.5 intersection over union (IoU) of both neural networks as shown in Table 5.

Table 4. Performance of neural network

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPE OF NEURAL NETWORK</th>
<th>FP</th>
<th>ACCURACY</th>
<th>PRECISION</th>
<th>RECALL</th>
<th>SEATBELT</th>
<th>PRECISION</th>
<th>RECALL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SSD-MOBILENET</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>97.60%</td>
<td>97.92%</td>
<td>77.47%</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>92.98%</td>
<td>77.37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSD-INCEPTION</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>97.70%</td>
<td>99.30%</td>
<td>77.47%</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>80.85%</td>
<td>99.03%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Performance of neural network

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Average Precision</th>
<th>Classes</th>
<th>Mean Average Precision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seatbelt</td>
<td>Toddler</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSD-Mobilnet</td>
<td>0.94129</td>
<td>0.980041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSD-Inception</td>
<td>0.88132</td>
<td>0.927863</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. CONCLUSION

This paper presents comprehensive work on the design and development of a toddler monitoring system to determine the seatbelt condition of the toddler in the backseat and inform the driver about the safety condition of the toddler. The toddler monitoring system is designed to be vision-based and the neural network method is used. The Jetson Nano is used as a microcontroller for the system due to its powerful performance to run the neural network for object detection. The SSD-type neural network is the best choice for Jetson Nano because it needs less processing power from the mobile controller. In the SSD-type neural network, SSD-Inception and SSD-Mobilnet are chosen and compared. The comparison of the performances of different neural networks has been carried out, and the result is shown in the previous chapter. It can be concluded that SSD-Mobilnet has better performance in speed, which is FPS when processing a video image, while the accuracy of both neural networks has no large difference. As the work progressed at this stage, several future expansion and development ideas were noted. For future improvements, the system's accuracy and sensitivity need to be improved by using more different data with a different model to the vehicle, toddlers with different ages, skin color, and so on to increase the database. Furthermore, the system can interact with the vehicle to get a more accurate output. To achieve this, cooperation with vehicle companies needs to be conducted.
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